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At Grant Thornton, we are looking at the future of audit quality with excitement and optimism after building on our capabilities during the past few years despite a challenging environment. We have emerged stronger after facing the global pandemic, the great resignation, and growing economic and technological uncertainty — issues that had a compound effect on businesses worldwide. Where some saw chaos amid three years of constant change, Grant Thornton embraced change as an opportunity. For our clients, our constituencies and the capital markets, our work has never mattered more. With quality as our north star, we identified and addressed critical challenges in a rapidly evolving landscape to better serve our clients, our people and the communities we serve.

**It starts with our people**
Quality is the foundation of all that we do — and it’s also a human thing. As our firm faced the tumult of recent years, we recognized the chance to reinvent the way we train and upskill our people, the way they work and the way we can balance their professional duties with their personal lives. From how and who we hire to how we train and coach; we’ve realized flexibility and agility are benefits that enhances quality.

**Technology drives our services and our quality**
Our cutting-edge technology drives more effective audits and deeper, broader and faster insights into your business. It empowers our people by slashing time spent on rote tasks and maximizing their ability to provide better service, wider knowledge and more value on every engagement. Our cutting-edge solutions and continued focus on integrating advanced technology such as artificial intelligence drives both quality and our ability to better serve our clients.

**Realizing the value of diversity**
Grant Thornton is also moving aggressively toward our ambitious FY 2025 DEI goals to help build the future of this profession. As a firm, the sum of our differences adds up to a greater whole. We understand tunnel vision isn’t clear vision. Only by embracing the holistic view of the world that diversity provides can we meet our goal of a workforce that reflects the diversity of the clients and the communities we serve.

**ESG is good business**
None of us can truly do well unless we also do good. Environmental, social and governance (ESG) initiatives are no longer an option — they are something our people and our communities insist on and deserve. We are as committed to quality here as we are in our client service, constantly examining how best to serve our communities while, through our ESG practice, helping clients join us in building a better world.

**Listening through the noise**
The more tumultuous the environment, the more vital that we work to hear what you really need. Asking the right questions, listening to your answers, and working together to shape solutions that deliver quality service and information is how we have always served you. And how we always will. From our clients to the investors, lenders and other constituents who count on the quality of our audits, we are always open to new ideas that serve an old commitment — the highest quality audits for every client, on every engagement, every day.

Janet Malzone
National Managing Partner
Audit Services, Grant Thornton LLP
About Grant Thornton

Grant Thornton LLP (Grant Thornton) is the U.S. member firm of Grant Thornton International Ltd., one of the world’s leading organizations of independent audit, tax, and advisory firms.

Our firm at a glance*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Services</th>
<th>Headquarters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Audit</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advisory</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **601** Partners/Principals
- **8,398** U.S. employees
- **$2.02 billion** in annual revenue
- **45** Offices

Our audit and assurance practice operates in 3 regions — East, Central and West — and each region is operated by a Regional Audit Practice Leader who reports to the National Managing Partner of Audit Services. Professional excellence is a focus for all of our people, and our professional practice network works closely with audit professionals and regional leadership to support that commitment. Each region has a National Professional Practice Director who reports to the National Managing Partner of professional practice, who in turn reports to the National Managing Partner of Audit Quality and Risk (AQR). The National Managing Partner of Audit Services and the National Managing Partner of AQR are part of the senior leadership team, led by the CEO.

*Additional team members include 1,774 professionals employed by our shared services center in Bangalore, India. Data reflects fiscal year 2022.
Our people

Technical excellence, audit quality and ethical behaviors are reinforced to all professionals that participate in assurance engagements starting day one and continue throughout their careers. Our learning programs reinforce that our professionals maintain an objective and skeptical mindset. The application of technical expertise is a key competency assessed within the mandatory annual performance appraisal process. In addition, partners and key team members involved in the audit of certain clients, such as listed companies, must first be suitably accredited (for example, completion of mandatory learning programs, sufficient public company audit experience, industry experience and other criteria).

Partner/principal/managing director/manager involvement is required throughout the audit process. This includes giving honest feedback, coaching, and mentoring, encouraging consultation, and providing on-the-job learning to our professionals. The mix of engagement personnel based on experience level is also a significant driver of audit quality, which includes leveraging the right work effectively to less experienced team members, helping them develop.

The following tables show our average full-time-equivalent (FTE) headcount by level and key ratios of engagement hours. On average, each of our partners supervises seven non-partner employees.

Figure 3: Average FTE Audit service personnel

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sum of FTE</th>
<th>FY20</th>
<th>FY21</th>
<th>FY22</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Partners</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Managing Directors</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Managers(^1)</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>498</td>
<td>502</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associates(^2)</td>
<td>1,437</td>
<td>1,533</td>
<td>1,340</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Ratio of partner to non-partner | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.7 |

\(^1\)Managers include directors, senior managers, and managers.  
\(^2\)Senior associates, associates, and interns.
Turnover of audit personnel is also a relevant influencer of audit quality. We have invested significantly in programs to help our team members have meaningful careers with Grant Thornton. Figure 4 shows Grant Thornton’s audit service line voluntary turnover experience below the partner/managing director level for the past three years.

Figure 4: Average annual turnover rates by level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>FY20</th>
<th>FY21</th>
<th>FY22</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Manager/Senior manager/Director</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior associate</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associate</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Today, most large firms utilize centralized service centers to perform certain audit procedures. The proper use of centralized services not only increases quality and efficiency but also enhances development opportunities for domestically based professionals. Grant Thornton operates a shared services center with offices in Bangalore and Kolkata, India, which is a joint effort between Grant Thornton India and Grant Thornton and is named INDUS. The INDUS audit team includes a group over 400 professionals.

In FY 2022, we performed approximately 15% of our audit hours in INDUS. Our INDUS professionals consistently deliver high-quality products. The INDUS team includes the Center for Excellence (COE) for Whole Ledger Analytics (WLA) and Innovations, which operates as the INDUS Lumen Team and works with audit teams across the U.S. INDUS also developed COEs for employee benefit plans and financial services.
Accountability matters. So does action.

We’re committed to building a more diverse, equitable and inclusive workplace that empowers those not often seen or heard. Creating stronger futures means going beyond words. By the end of our FY 2025 — our 100th anniversary — we aspire to fulfill five key diversity goals that serve and support our DE&I efforts:

**Our Goals**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal Description</th>
<th>2022 progress</th>
<th>Target date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30% Of new hires will be Black and African American, or Hispanic and Latinx [also opportunities for Pan-Asians, Native Americans, Hawaiians and Pacific Islanders]</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>By the end of fiscal year 2025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35% Of National Leadership Team and market-focused leaders will be women, people of color, LGBTQ+, individuals with disabilities, veterans or military spouses</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40% Of partners, principals and managing directors will be women, people of color, LGBTQ+, individuals with disabilities, veterans or military spouses</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50% Of hot-skill training opportunities will include women, people of color, LGBTQ+, individuals with disabilities, veterans or military spouses</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50% Increase in representation of veterans, military spouses and individuals with disabilities across the firm</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Our audit leadership team is committed to achieving the firm’s FY 2025 goals. Details regarding the progress our audit practice has made to date in creating a more diverse working environment can be found in Figure 6.

**Figure 6: Gender and race or ethnicity breakdown of U.S. based colleagues**

**Gender breakdown of U.S. based colleagues**
- Women
- Men

**Gender breakdown of PPMDs**
- Women
- Men

**Race or ethnicity breakdown of U.S. based colleagues**
- American Indian or Alaska Native
- Asian
- Black or African American
- Hispanic or Latinx
- Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander
- Not disclosed
- White or Caucasian

**Race or ethnicity breakdown of PPMDs**
- American Indian or Alaska Native
- Asian
- Black or African American
- Hispanic or Latinx
- Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander
- Not disclosed
- White or Caucasian

To learn about the progress Grant Thornton has made with regards to our DE&I efforts, please see our ESG Report.
ESG

While not directly called for under generally accepted accounting principles or through other regulatory requirements, we see an increasing number of our clients voluntarily reporting data, policies, strategy, commitments — key performance indicators (KPIs) — and outcomes for a variety of topics around ESG matters. As such, our focus on ESG in our role as auditor and adviser continues to expand. To facilitate our role as auditor, we provide our engagement teams with data and information on ESG matters relevant to the entity under audit to assist with identifying the financial reporting and internal control risks that may be present at the entity or within the entity’s industry sector. Recognizing these risks allows us to focus the audit effort in areas where material errors or discrepancies may arise.

This focus on the audit risks around ESG allows our audit teams to have in-depth discussions with management, boards and audit committees on a variety of ESG subjects that are pertinent to the governance of the entity, including

- ESG strategy and execution
- Identification of material ESG subjects and KPIs
- Industry and peer benchmarking of ESG topics and disclosures
- Application of sustainability and ESG reporting frameworks and standards
- Climate-related commitments such as net-zero and emission reduction targets
- Greenhouse gas measurement
- DE&I programs and strategies
- Supply chain governance
- Data privacy and cybersecurity

Our clients are increasingly seeking assurance over their ESG disclosures to garner additional trust in the information reported. Our audit methodology includes supplemental guidance and approaches to delivering sustainability assurance over a wide variety of ESG subjects, disclosures, and measurements. Consistent with our role in the financial statement and ICFR audit process, the assurance we provide over ESG reporting increases the credibility of this information and aligns with our role in the capital markets.

In addition to providing ESG services, our firm has incorporated ESG programs into our commercial strategy and operations. Many of our ESG commitments align with those of the markets we serve to show the way on the importance of these topics on corporate stewardship and success. More about our firm’s commitments can be found in our Sustainability and DE&I reports.
GTIL and Grant Thornton have implemented service delivery systems, quality controls and risk management tools to provide the necessary framework to meet the high-quality standards of the firm and the profession. Our people have both the latest learning and the most effective tools to build, earn and secure public trust.

We completed the implementation phase of the International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board’s International Standard on Quality Management 1 in December 2022. We developed a tool, qm.x, to document our system of quality management and are using it to perform our ongoing monitoring. qm.x will also be used in connection with the equivalent standard by the AICPA and eventually the final standard, when issued, of the PCAOB.

Initiating an engagement — Client acceptance and continuance

Our audit quality control and risk management start with our efforts to (1) verify that we are working with clients who share our commitment to excellence (client acceptance), (2) confirm that we have the skills and capabilities to audit the client’s financial statements (engagement acceptance), and (3) plan and execute each engagement to meet or exceed the relevant auditing standards (engagement performance).

The firm’s client acceptance and continuance policies and procedures are foundational to our system of quality control and are designed to ensure the acceptance and retention of clients that share Grant Thornton’s values of quality and integrity. Key partners actively participate in and approve the acceptance of every new client. Additional review and approval occur for engagements that meet specified criteria, which may include the National Managing Partner of audit risk management and a committee of more senior partners. Tax and advisory services also undergo a formal acceptance process to prevent any proposed non-audit services from creating independence conflicts.

The firm requires a determination, at least annually and when certain events occur, of whether our relationship with a client should be continued.

The firm is subject to global client acceptance and continuance procedures that are designed to support member firms’ acceptance of appropriate clients. Engagements meeting certain criteria require approval from a global key assurance assignment committee, which considers whether these engagements represent an acceptable risk to the global network. The committee is chaired by GTIL’s global head of quality and consists of partners from a group of geographically diverse member firms. Review and approval are performed on each engagement by a subset of the committee and excludes a representative from the firm proposing.
Supervision, consultation, and review

Every well-run audit firm has a staff of experts available to support engagement teams when complex accounting and audit situations arise. At Grant Thornton, we take pride in our national office, which not only provides exceptional advice and counsel to our teams but also gets involved directly with our clients to address issues. We average providing one national office professional for roughly every 20 direct client-serving audit professionals, and one national office partner or managing director for every four audit partners or managing directors.

Figure 7: National office coverage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY20</th>
<th>FY21</th>
<th>FY22</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of client serving FTEs to national office</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>18.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct client serving partners/MDs to national office support partners/MDs</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We have a strong culture of consultation, supervision and support, which is embedded throughout our audit methodology.

Audit clients, whose securities are publicly traded, are subject to an engagement quality review (as required by the PCAOB’s Auditing Standards and the International Standards on Auditing) by partners who are specially qualified to perform such reviews. Our engagement quality review partners are in place for these reviews and other complex or higher-risk clients to address certain quality and risk management matters.

Audit quality and risk

Quality is foundational to the entire firm, with responsibility lying with every professional, and the system of quality control is set up to ensure the audit and assurance services we provide are consistent with that foundation, and that we adhere to our professional responsibilities. The AQR team is central to our ability to maintain our system of quality control, support the professionals, and address risk, and includes specialists in accounting principles, auditing and other attest standards, SEC and PCAOB regulatory matters, risk management, and ethics and independence. AQR professionals are heavily involved in AICPA and FASB standard-setting activities, including the Auditing Standards Board, the Assurance Services Executive Committee, the Peer Review Board, and National Peer Review Committee of the AICPA. We also support and are significantly involved in the activities of the Center for Audit Quality. Our involvement in the profession gives us insight into the business and regulatory environment.

The AQR team is responsible for

- Establishing and enforcing policies related to accounting and auditing services, including client acceptance and continuance and independence
- Maintaining, updating, and reviewing the firm’s accounting, auditing, independence and ethics, regulatory and other audit services resources
- Providing timely information and thought leadership concerning current developments in areas of accounting, auditing, ethics and independence, regulatory actions, and specialized industries
- Administering various professional and firm quality control functions, including various monitoring activities
- Consulting on technical matters relating to accounting, auditing, and SEC practices
Audit methodology and technology

Our global audit methodology, which is used by all GTIL member firms, provides audit teams with a clear and direct link between identified financial reporting risks, internal controls that address the risk and audit procedures. This approach enables audit teams to judge how the current environment affects an entity’s financial reporting, how the entity’s operations, policies, and procedures may introduce audit risk, and how to develop an appropriate audit response. The methodology scales to allow the audit response to be applied across entities of various sizes and risks. Also, the methodology integrates quality control processes — for example, policies requiring certain types of procedures for certain risks or when an additional layer of engagement quality review is necessary.

Engagement teams use a variety of automated and analytical capabilities from our ever-growing suite of Lumen innovations. WLA is our flagship automated analytic and represents a suite of separate analytic routines that can be applied on any general ledger dataset (as well as other datasets, such as subledger detail) to assist teams in performing risk assessment and substantive procedures, and in identifying other value-added insights. With WLA, our auditors can more easily and effectively examine a client’s financial reporting activity and identify higher-risk transactions, human errors and reporting trends. WLA is available for use on all audit engagements and the routines include, among others, the following: transaction scoring analytics, account combination analytics, digital analytics, and text and letter analytics. These tools allow engagement teams to identify areas of increased risk and facilitate more focused audit responses to improve audit quality.

Audit results are documented within a proprietary documentation software tool and supplemented with external documents that are accumulated and archived following completion of the audit.

Professional development

We support professional development not only through structured learning programs but also through on-the-job learning across varied client assignments, coaching, national office rotations and international secondments. Further, we continually review the skills and competencies of all our professionals to confirm their qualifications for assigned engagements.

The firm provides professionals with a wide variety of learning programs to enhance knowledge and skills in the following areas, among others

- Accounting, auditing, financial reporting and regulatory
- Applying audit standards, policies, methodology and tools
- Fraud prevention and detection and professional skepticism
- Independence and ethics
- Industry — for example, financial services, benefit plans, not-for-profit and governmental
- Leadership, communication and interpersonal skills
- Supervision of the audit

The audit practice has robust policies and processes around learning development and delivery in the following areas to ensure learning programs are relevant and effective:

- The number of hours and type of learning needed by professional level
- Identifying and prioritizing learning topics by level
- Content development, technical reviews, and delivery, including identifying and preparing program leaders
- Monitoring enrollment and course completions
- Continuing Professional Education (CPE) compliance
The firm uses a variety of methods to deliver national learning programs and courses — face-to-face (national, regional and local), virtual classrooms, webcasts and on-demand self-study programs. Where specific topics are not available through a firm-sponsored program, professionals attend courses and events offered by qualified external providers.

All professionals in the firm, including CPAs and non-CPAs, are required to obtain at least 20 hours of qualifying CPE every year and at least 120 hours every three years. As noted in the chart below, our professionals average over 40 hours of relevant training each year.

In addition, all partners and professionals must comply with state licensing and other firm CPE requirements — all of which are monitored.

**Figure 8: Average training hours by level**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>CY20</th>
<th>CY21</th>
<th>CY22</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Partner/Managing director</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manager</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior associate</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associate</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The firm enforces corrective actions (minimum consequences) for noncompliance with firm CPE policies, which may include disciplinary warnings, impact on performance ratings and removal from certain engagements, among other actions.

Grant Thornton is certified for CPE sponsorship through the National Association of State Boards of Accountancy and listed on the National Registry of CPE Sponsors. The registry recognizes CPE program sponsors who provide CPE programs in accordance with nationally recognized standards.

**Accountability and partner compensation**

Responsibility for audit quality rests with every professional involved in audits. The ability and commitment to get the job done right is a determining factor in our promotion and compensation system at every level, resting finally and primarily with the partners.

Our partners are owners of the business and share in the firm’s annual profit at a level equivalent to their percentage of ownership. All partners are paid based on the earnings of the entire firm. They are not paid based on the relative success of their respective service lines. Over time, and commensurate with their demonstrated contribution of value to the partnership, partners earn the right to increase their ownership percentage, and thus their annual compensation.

For partners who are involved with providing and supporting audit services, we track positive and negative audit quality indicators and assign a range of points to those indicators. The tracking is a key factor in a partner’s quality rating and overall rating. For example, a partner who is assessed as not meeting expectations in quality will also be assessed as not meeting expectations overall. In addition, the accumulation of negative points may result in a reduction in a partner’s final year-end distribution.

Evidence of high quality is observed in many ways. In addition to tracking positive quality indicators, we also annually recognize professionals who have demonstrated their commitment to quality through Quality Awards.
Quality monitoring

We have various methods of monitoring our system of quality control and engagement quality, including real-time involvement of national office personnel on select audit engagements, self-assessment reviews of issuer audit engagements prior to archiving, and internal inspections of assurance engagements and the system of quality control. In addition, we have periodic meetings throughout the year to proactively assess audit practice risks, engagement-level risks, and environmental factors, such as market demand and talent needs. We also undergo inspections by various regulators. These activities provide an in-depth assessment of whether the firm’s system of quality control and individual engagements are adhering to the standards promulgated by the various standard-setting bodies that oversee our profession, as well as being compliant with our firm policies. The results of these reviews and actions also provide inputs into the quality aspect of our periodic partner performance evaluation.

Internal inspection

Grant Thornton’s internal inspection program, known as the audit practice review (APR), is overseen by the Audit Risk Management group and is performed throughout the year with periodic, at least annual, reporting of results. The APR includes comprehensive testing of quality control policies and processes and a sample of audit and attest engagements. In addition to determining compliance with the relevant rules, regulations and standards, the APR assesses the effectiveness of firm policies, tools, and learning, and identifies opportunities to improve engagement performance. Figure 9 shows the three years of inspection results ending with FY 2022.

Inspection teams are selected from a combination of national office personnel and audit professionals outside the geography under review and include specialists as considered necessary. Engagement partners are subject to a possible engagement review every year, but no less frequently than once every three years. Individual engagements are selected for inspection using a risk-based approach. Review procedures typically occur between April and September.

Findings from engagement inspections and testing of the various components of quality control, as well as observations from surveys and interviews, are captured and evaluated throughout the process. Identified themes or pervasive opportunities for improvement are communicated to the Audit Services practice through training events and other technical update channels.

Figure 9: Summary of Audit Services practice internal inspection results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY20</th>
<th>FY21</th>
<th>FY22</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Issuer</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compliant</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-issuer</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compliant</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>94%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Peer review
The firm participates in the AICPA Peer Review Program, which requires a firm to undergo triannual external reviews of its audit quality control systems applicable to non-SEC issuer engagements. Our most recent Peer Review Report can be accessed on the AICPA website using the Firm Number 900010026656.

PCAOB inspections
The PCAOB conducts periodic inspections of all registered public accounting firms, including annual inspections of firms such as Grant Thornton that audit 100 or more issuers. The PCAOB’s process focuses on our system of quality control and inspections of a selection of our SEC issuer engagements. Inspection reports include two major parts. Part I is public and includes findings related to specific inspection engagements. Part II contains observations regarding a firm’s overall quality control processes, including comments on thematic issues. Part II remains non-public unless the PCAOB deems the actions taken to address those observations were insufficient, in which case, such aspects of Part II are made public.

Our most recent PCAOB public inspection report was the 2021 report issued in November 2022 that covered audits of companies with fiscal years generally ended on or around Dec. 31, 2020. Figure 10 shows the percentage of Grant Thornton’s PCAOB-inspected audits that were included in Part I.A of the PCAOB’s inspection report in comparison to the Part I.A average for the other five global auditing firms.

All of Grant Thornton’s PCAOB inspection reports are available on the PCAOB site.

Figure 10: 5-Year Comparison of PCAOB Inspection Report Part 1.a Findings:
Grant Thornton to Other Global Network Firms*

![Graph showing comparison of PCAOB inspection findings for Grant Thornton to other global firms over 5 years]

*Findings are for the U.S. member firms global network firms designated by the PCAOB

Continuous focus on improvement
• Committed to investments and enhancements to processes, methodologies and innovation that support high-quality audits
• Audit Quality Advisory Council that includes external members and provides ongoing guidance
The most recently available report is from the 2021 inspection, which noted the following areas where deficiencies were most frequently identified in substantive testing and/or testing of internal controls (Part I.A deficiencies):

- Testing related to an account or significant portion of an account or to address an identified risk
- Evaluation of the appropriateness of the issuer’s accounting method or disclosure for one or more transactions or accounts
- Testing of data or reports used in the firm’s substantive testing
- Testing controls that addressed the risks related to a significant account or relevant assertion
- Testing controls over the accuracy and completeness of data or reports that the issuer used in the operation of controls
- Testing the design and/or operating effectiveness of controls selected for testing

Other deficiencies identified during the 2021 inspection that do not relate to the sufficiency or appropriateness of evidence obtained to support its opinion(s) related to (Part I.B deficiencies):

- Audit documentation
- Communications with audit committees or management
- Critical audit matters

In addition to the areas identified above, the firm is also focusing on the following areas based on feedback from the PCAOB:

- Supervision of the audit
- Policies for financial holdings disclosures

**U.S. Department of Labor inspections**

The Employee Benefits Security Administration of the U.S. Department of Labor (DoL) has established an Audit Quality Inspection Program covering audits of plans subject to the reporting and disclosure requirements of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974. For those firms that audit 200 or more plans, like ours, a DoL inspection consists of a top-down review of the firm’s employee benefit plan audit practice, as well as an inspection of a selection of employee benefit plan audits for compliance with professional standards and DoL rules and regulations. The DoL’s most recent inspection of our firm was completed favorably in 2021, with all inspected engagements being deemed acceptable.

**Effectiveness of quality control system**

The firm evaluates findings and observations from all its monitoring activities, including inputs from both internal and external sources. A key component of the assessment is a robust root cause program wherein causal factors are identified for positive and negative results, combined with an analysis of quality indicators. The ongoing cycle of monitoring, identifying where improvements are warranted, identifying causal factors, and developing actions provide a foundation to drive continual improvement in our audit approach and execution. An effective quality control system can provide only reasonable and not absolute assurance that a firm’s quality control objectives are met.
Engagement with regulators
GTIL member firms are expected to maintain an open and constructive relationship with their regulators. This is consistent with our global policy of embracing external oversight, along with supporting a balanced public reporting of external inspection programs. As a major international professional services organization, GTIL meets at least annually with the International Forum of Independent Audit Regulators and contributes regularly to the public discussion on major industry issues.

Independence and ethical guidelines
Grant Thornton employs a robust independence quality control system that meets or exceeds regulatory requirements. Specific controls address audit partner rotation for listed and other public interest entities and identify potential independence threats. All partners, principals, managing directors and client-facing managers are required to maintain real-time investment records in a GTIL global database (referred to as the Global Independence System (GIS)). Our ethical standards group identifies and helps resolve any potential independence conflicts.

Independence policies and procedures require
• Maintenance of an updated list of restricted entities, including other related lists
• Independence self-reporting and annual certification by our partners, principals, managing directors, and other professionals, as well as our professionals certifying their independence when submitting time through the firm’s time capturing tools
• Self-reporting of other relationships in the firm’s self-reporting tools (e.g., independence certification) that may be thought to have a bearing on our independence within seven days of entering into a new relationship
• Verification that the self-reporting of such independence and relationship matters is complete and accurate

Independence requirements include prompt reporting of any employment offer from an audit or other attest client, which then triggers a review and evaluation of the impact such an offer may have on the work performed. In addition, personnel interviewing with such clients will be segregated from providing professional services during the interview process and while the offer is being considered.

Because “tone at the top” is central to an effective independence quality control system, the firm has developed a sanctioning process for noncompliance with our policies and procedures that is fully supported by our Senior Leadership Team and Partnership Board. We perform independence audits of partners, principals, managing directors and managers every year. Partners in the audit chain-of-command, Senior Leadership Team members and Partnership Board members are audited at least every two years. Other partners and managing directors are audited at least every four years.
In addition to our own independence practices, GTIL requires member firms to adopt policies and procedures that have been designed to safeguard independence on audit engagements and identify circumstances that could pose a conflict of interest. The global independence policies and procedures are based on the International Ethics Standards Board’s Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants, with supplements to govern compliance with our global independence and international relationship-checking processes. Member firms are also required to adhere to their local regulatory requirements if more restrictive.

Another key element in our independence and ethical practices includes communications with audit committees and those charged with governance. Member firms have established policies and guidance for engagement teams to follow to comply with the applicable independence communication requirements. For each audit client, our engagement teams communicate with those charged with governance to discuss matters that may have a reasonable bearing on the firm’s independence and the execution of the audit engagement. At the end of each audit, the engagement team reviews the results of the audit with those charged with governance. For public audit clients, all permitted non-audit services are preapproved by the audit committee to ensure the independence and objectivity of the firm. In addition, for such clients, engagement teams are required to adhere to the audit committee independence communication requirements (verbal and written) established by the PCAOB and SEC, and engagement teams have a professional responsibility to explain how the performance of non-audit services may impact independence.

Global independence system

Avoiding financial interests in an audit client, or its affiliate, is a key objective in maintaining the independence of member firms and the client service engagement teams. To help individuals and member firms achieve this objective, GTIL uses the GIS, an automated tracking system that identifies restricted entities (issuers of publicly traded securities in which financial interests are prohibited or restricted). This information is made available to all personnel in all member firms.

Member firms and their partners, client-facing managers, spouses, spousal equivalents and dependents are required to maintain a record of their financial interests in the GIS. The GIS monitors compliance with our financial interest policies by comparing financial interests recorded in the system with a regularly updated list of restricted entities. Individuals and those responsible for compliance monitoring are notified by the system in the event a recorded financial interest is in a restricted entity. The GIS provides a mechanism to assist the individual in determining, based on the facts and circumstances, whether it is appropriate to hold the financial interest. Where it is not appropriate to hold the financial interest, it must be disposed of.

Grant Thornton has a policy in place that requires GIS users that have eligible investment accounts to use a brokerage firm that participates in the firm’s GIS broker import program. This feature assists the firm in monitoring compliance with its financial interest polices and requirements.

GIS compliance monitoring is performed at both the member firm and global levels.
**Relationship checking**

GTIL policy requires member firms and professional personnel from all service lines to maintain their objectivity when delivering services to clients. To avoid conflicts of interest and threats to independence arising from the provision of non-audit services to audit clients or their affiliates, the identification of any existing client relationships prior to accepting an assignment is essential.

Policies and procedures at the member firm and global levels include processes designed to identify existing relationships. These processes also include requirements for appropriate consultation when relationships are identified prior to accepting new assignments.

**GTIL quality**

GTIL has established a Global Quality Framework, which is an overarching standard that applies to all GTIL member firms. It sets common quality standards and is a permanent reference point setting the expectations of member firms to deliver services to agreed-upon quality standards.

Member firms are focused on delivering high-quality engagements in an ever-changing environment. GTIL provides support and resources to help support quality, including:

- An audit methodology, with supporting software, that is available globally
- Policies and procedures contained in audit manuals that are benchmarked against international auditing, quality control, independence, and ethics standards
- Protocols that enable member firms to consult with the global auditing standards team and, if necessary, with audit specialists in other member firms
- Protocols that enable member firms to consult with an International Financial Reporting Standards help desk
- A comprehensive intranet service that includes up-to-date information for member firms on auditing, financial reporting, ethics and independence standards, and guidance on applying them effectively

GTIL has two steering committees in support of driving quality throughout the network, each of which is composed of representatives from member firms, including the U.S. A Global Assurance Quality Steering Committee drives quality initiatives throughout the network, such as policy, guidance, and tools. For example, each member firm performs root cause analysis, has an international accreditation policy, uses audit quality performance measures, and has a whistleblowing policy.

The Global Methodology and Learning Steering Committee collaborates on auditing standards, methodology and related training that affect the network.

Each GTIL member firm is obligated to submit to an inspection of its quality control system, referred to as the Grant Thornton Assessment and Review (GTAR), typically at least once every three years. The GTAR process is designed to monitor member firm compliance with professional standards and global audit policies and procedures. The GTAR is conducted by independent and suitably qualified partners and managers from other member firms under the overall direction of the global audit quality leader. The inspection process includes an evaluation of policies and procedures of the member firm applicable to its audit practice and benchmarking those policies and procedures against relevant policies and procedures of the international organization and applicable audit standards. A report is issued based on the review findings and is evaluated as satisfactory (pass) or adverse (fail). Summary results are made available to a designated group in each member firm, which is accessible to group auditors for purposes of assessing qualifications of other member firms that perform work on group audits.
Governance risk and compliance

Our CEO is ultimately responsible and accountable for the system of quality management, and operational responsibility has been placed with the National Managing Partner of AQR. The National Managing Partner of Audit Services and the National Managing Partner of AQR report quality and risk matters to the Partnership Board including, at least annually, the findings of our engagement quality review process and any planned remedial actions. The results are also disclosed to the firm’s external regulatory bodies.

Audit quality advisory council

The Grant Thornton Audit quality advisory council (the quality council) is comprised of two independent council members and one audit representative from our Partnership Board. The purposes of the quality council are to advise the Partnership Board regarding ways to maintain and improve the firm’s system of quality control in accordance with applicable professional standards, and to champion the public interest nature of the Audit practice. The firm grants the quality council full access to firm information to help them fully understand our system of quality control; the quality council sets an agenda each year to consider multiple quality control areas of the firm, including observing certain processes as they occur. The quality council also meets with the Audit practice and other leadership throughout the year.

The two independent members are Chris Mandaleris and Ann Yerger. Mandaleris is a retired audit partner from a global firm and was previously the senior deputy director of inspections for the PCAOB. Yerger has a rich history in both corporate governance and investor advocacy as the former executive director of the Council of Institutional Investors. Cathy Hyodo is the audit representative from our partnership board. Hyodo is an audit partner and the office managing partner for our Los Angeles office. She serves as a liaison between the Quality Council and the board and provides insight to the independent members regarding our governance and system of quality controls.

Professional excellence committee

The Professional Excellence Committee was established to further demonstrate the firm’s commitment to our global values. Its purpose is to ensure full consideration by the firm of alleged misconduct and policy violations of partners, principals, and managing directors; to help ensure fair and consistent treatment; and to evaluate alleged wrongdoing impartially and objectively.
**Code of conduct**

Our Code of Conduct is an extension of Grant Thornton’s global values, which provide the framework for the way in which we conduct business, serve our clients, and behave both within the firm and externally. A dedication to our global values of Collaboration, Leadership, Excellence, Agility, Respect and Responsibility (CLEARR) is the personal responsibility of every employee of Grant Thornton.

The Code of Conduct also includes policies that deal with independence, conflicts, protecting confidential and proprietary information, records management, equal opportunity employment and diversity issues, complying with laws and regulations including the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, government ethics and contracting, and political activities.

---

**Ethics hotline**

At Grant Thornton, we believe ethical behavior is a necessary foundation for everything we do. Links to EthicsPoint, the independent third-party host of our Ethics Hotline, are available on our external website and on our intranet site to ensure that both our firm professionals and our clients have the opportunity to ensure that we uphold our standards. Reports can be made anonymously and are forwarded to the appropriate personnel for review within 24 hours.
Data security

Confidential information relates to any non-public or proprietary information about our clients or personnel, or any non-public information that we have acquired during the course of business. We employ a variety of system controls and policies to avoid any improper or unauthorized use or disclosure of such information. We require a nondisclosure agreement and client consent when we engage outside resources to assist us in an audit that requires us to furnish them with confidential information. Data security also includes protecting data and systems from cyberthreats and attacks. The tenets of the program are security, confidentiality/privacy, integrity, and availability controls, which are selected based on the type and source of the information our firm is entrusted to protect.

Following are examples of Grant Thornton’s confidentiality, privacy, and integrity controls:

- **Administrative** — New hire background checks, security and privacy policies, incident response procedures, learning, and change management processes
- **Physical** — Access control mechanisms to secure areas, secure handling and disposal of hard-copy materials, environmental controls, and media disposal and sanitization procedures
- **Technical** — Laptop encryption, password requirements enforcement, two-factor authentication, patching processes, network intrusion detection systems, firewalls, encryption of information across untrusted networks, mobile device management, malware and virus detection software, and controls to track and disable lost or stolen equipment
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